Document: 00515486099 Page: 1 Date Filed: 07/13/2020 Case: 19-30317 Case 2:15-cr-00046-DEW-KK Document 87 Filed 07/13/20 Page 1 of 4 PageID #: 552

United States Court of Appeals

FIFTH CIRCUIT OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE CLERK

TEL. 504-310-7700 600 S. MAESTRI PLACE, Suite 115 **NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130**

July 13, 2020

Mr. Tony R. Moore Western District of Louisiana, Lake Charles United States District Court 300 Fannin Street Suite 1167 Shreveport, LA 71101-0000

> No. 19-30317 USA v. Maurice Speights USDC No. 2:18-CV-1072 USDC No. 2:15-CR-46-1

Dear Mr. Moore,

Enclosed is a copy of the judgment issued as the mandate and a copy of the court's opinion.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk

Allison G. Lopez, Deputy Clerk

504-310-7702

Ms. Camille Ann Domingue cc:

Mr. Maurice Antuan Speights

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-30317

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

May 19, 2020

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

D.C. Docket No. 2:18-CV-1072

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

MAURICE ANTUAN SPEIGHTS,

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana

Before HAYNES, GRAVES, and ENGELHARDT, Circuit Judges.

JUDGMENT

This cause was considered on the record on appeal.

It is ordered and adjudged that the judgment of the District Court is affirmed.



Certified as a true copy and issued as the mandate on Jul 13, 2020

Attest:

Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-30317

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

May 19, 2020

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

MAURICE ANTUAN SPEIGHTS,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana USDC No. 2:18-CV-1072 USDC No. 2:15-CR-46-1

Before HAYNES, GRAVES, and ENGELHARDT, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:*

Maurice Antuan Speights, federal prisoner #23322-017, was convicted by jury verdict of sexual abuse of a person who was mentally or physically unable to decline and was sentenced to 121 months of imprisonment, five years of supervised release, and \$3,570 restitution. He moves for a certificate of appealability (COA) to appeal the denial of his motion to alter or amend judgment, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e), in which he

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 19-30317

argued that the district court erred by denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion without conducting an evidentiary hearing. He repeats those arguments from his Rule 59(e) motion before us and does not challenge his underlying claims directly. His motion for appointment of counsel is denied.

A COA is not required to appeal the denial of an evidentiary hearing in a § 2255 proceeding. See Norman v. Stephens, 817 F.3d 226, 234 (5th Cir. 2016). We therefore construe Speights's motion for a COA as a direct appeal of that issue. Because the record established that Speights could not prove a necessary element to establish ineffective assistance of counsel, the district court did not abuse its discretion by denying his § 2255 motion without conducting an evidentiary hearing. See United States v. Walker, 68 F.3d 931, 934 (5th Cir. 1995).

AFFIRMED; MOTIONS FOR A COA AND APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL DENIED.